

Bounded differences

We want to show that

$$\hat{\theta} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum \ell(\theta; z_i)$$

achieves near-optimal population loss.

To show such results, we will use uniform concentration guarantees

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum \ell(\theta; z_i) - \mathbb{E} \ell(\theta; z) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ fast enough.}$$

We begin with concentration results for light-tailed RVs.

Def A RV X is σ^2 -subGaussian if $\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(X-\mathbb{E} X)} \leq \underline{\exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2}\right)}$ $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

↳ Light-tailed RV

↳ MGf of $N(0, \sigma^2)$

From Markov's inequality, $\forall \lambda \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X - \mathbb{E} X \geq t) &= \mathbb{P}(X(X - \mathbb{E} X) \geq \lambda t) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E} X)} \geq e^{\lambda t}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E} X)} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2} - \lambda t\right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking min over $\lambda \geq 0$, we get $\mathbb{P}(X - \mathbb{E} X \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

Similarly, we have $\mathbb{P}(X - \mathbb{E} X \leq -t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

Example ε : random signs (Rademacher) is 1-sub-Gaussian.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{-\lambda} + e^{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^h}{h!} + \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^h}{h!}\right) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2h}}{(2h)!} \leq 1 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2h}}{(2h)!} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2h}}{2^h h!} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Example $X \in [a, b]$, $\mathbb{E} X = 0$. Then, X is $(b-a)^2$ -sub-Gaussian.

$$\text{PF: } \mathbb{E}_x e^{\lambda x} = \mathbb{E}_x e^{\lambda(x - \mathbb{E} x' + x')} \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(x - x')} \quad \text{where } x' \text{ indep copy of } x$$

Let ε be random signs indep of everything so that $x - x' \stackrel{D}{=} \varepsilon(x - x')$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(x - x')} &= \mathbb{E}_{x, x'} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon} e^{\lambda \varepsilon(x - x')} \leq \mathbb{E}_{x, x'} e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}(x - x')^2} \quad \text{by } \mathbb{E} x^2 \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}(b-a)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Actually, we can show X is $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$ -sub-G.

cf. $X \in [a, b]$ is $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$ -sub-Gaussian.

By convexity of $x \mapsto e^{\lambda x}$, $e^{\lambda x} \leq \frac{b-x}{b-a} e^{\lambda a} + \frac{x-a}{b-a} e^{\lambda b}$

Take expectations on both sides. For $h = \lambda(b-a)$, $p = \frac{-\lambda}{b-a}$. $L(h) = -hp + \log(1+p+pe^h)$,

$\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X} \leq R^{L(h)}$. $L(0) = L'(0) = 0$, $L''(h) \leq \frac{1}{4} \forall h$ so $L(h) \leq \frac{1}{8} h^2$ by Taylor \square .

Bounded differences will play a key role in showing this result.

Then let g be a function satisfying

$$|g(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_n) - g(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n)| \leq c_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

one coordinate doesn't change fm too much

For independent RVs z_i 's,

$$\Pr(g(z_i^n) - \mathbb{E}g(z_i^n) \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i c_i^2}\right)$$

Generalization of Hoeffding bound

Def $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a martingale seq w.r.t. RVs Z_1, \dots, Z_n

if M_i is (Z_1, \dots, Z_i) -measurable, $\mathbb{E}|M_i| < \infty$, and

$$\mathbb{E}[M_i | Z_{i-1}] = M_{i-1}.$$

We call $\{D_i := M_i - M_{i-1}\}_{i=1}^n$ a martingale difference sequence v.r.t. Z_i^n .

$$(\mathbb{E}[D_i | X_i^{i-1}] = 0)$$

Lemma Let D_i be a martingale difference sequence v.r.t. Z_i^n s.t. $\exists \sigma_i^2$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda D_i} | Z_i^{i-1}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 t^2}{2}\right) \quad \forall i \quad \dots (\star)$$

Then, $M_n - M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ is $(\sum \sigma_i^2)$ -sub-Gaussian.

Pf

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda \sum D_i} &= \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda D_n} \cdot e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda D_n} \cdot e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i} | Z_i^{n-1}]] \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{\sigma_n^2 t^2}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i}] \end{aligned}$$

$\hookrightarrow Z_i^{n-1}$ -measurable

By induction, we get the result. \square .

Proof of bold differences

define the Doob martingale

$$M_i = \mathbb{E}[g(z_i^n) | z_i^i] \quad (M_0 = \mathbb{E} g(z_0^n))$$

so we'd like to bound $\mathbb{P}(M_n - M_0 \geq t)$.

Note that $|D_i| = |\mathbb{E}[g(z_i^n) | z_i^i] - \mathbb{E}[g(z_i^n) | z_{i-1}^{i-1}]|$

$$\leq \sup_{z, z'} |\mathbb{E}_{z_{i-1}^n} g(z_i^n, z, z_{i-1}^n) - \mathbb{E}_{z_{i-1}^n} g(z_{i-1}^{i-1}, z', z_{i-1}^n)| \leq c_i \quad \cdots (*)$$

so $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda D_i} | z_{i-1}^{i-1}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(D_i - \mathbb{E}[D_i | z_{i-1}^{i-1}])} | z_{i-1}^{i-1}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \cdot \frac{c_i^2}{4}\right)$

From previous lemma, and tail inequality for sub-G RVs, we have the result \square .